

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,253 02/06/2004		Mitsushi Fujiki	042068	6491	
38834 7:	590 11/22/2006	EXAMINER			
	N, HATTORI, DANI	PHAM, T	PHAM, THANH V		
1250 CONNEC SUITE 700	CTICUT AVENUE, NW	1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	N, DC 20036	2823			

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)							
Office Action Summary			10/772,253		FUJIKI, MITSUSHI				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Thanh V. Ph		2823				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication app	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comp period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 nunication. tatutory period w will, by statute,	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, vill apply and will excause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be time community to the community of the community to t	l. lely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				ř					
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>04</i> Od	ctober 2006.						
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition	for allowan	nce except for	r formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🖂)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.								
7)									
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	r election req	uirement.					
Applicati	on Papers		•						
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign	priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	, ,			. 🗖					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-048)	4	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Notice of Informal P							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/04/2006 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corvasce et al. US 6,300,654 B1 in combination with Sasaki et al. US 6,444,099 B1 and Matsuura et al. US 6,964,873 B2.

Re claim 1, the Corvasce et al. reference discloses a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device of prior art, comprising:

forming an insulating film 24 over a semiconductor substrate 11;

forming a Ti lower layer 26 of a lower-electrode conductive film on the insulating film 24:

forming an upper layer 7 of the lower-electrode conductive film on the lower layer 26, and constituting a lower-electrode conductive film by the upper and lower layers;

Art Unit: 2823

forming a ferroelectric film 17 of PZT or SBT (re claim 7) on the lower-electrode conductive film 7/26;

forming an upper-electrode conductive film 8 on the ferroelectric film 17; and forming a ferroelectric capacitor by patterning the upper-electrode conductive film, the ferroelectric film, and the lower-electrode conductive film, fig. 3.

Re claim 5, the Corvasce et al. reference also discloses the upper layer of the lower-electrode conductive film is a single-layer film made of platinum, col. 3, line 64.

The Corvasce et al. reference does not disclose what method in what temperature used in the process step of forming lower layer of lower-electrode conductive film. In other words, the Corvasce et al. reference does not disclose keeping substrate temperature higher than room temperature and lower than 200 °C while sputtering a Ti lower layer 26 of a lower-electrode conductive film on the insulating film 24.

The Matsuura et al. reference discloses, col. 7 lines 34-50

Referring to FIG. 3A, a SiO.sub.2 film 32 is formed on a Si substrate 31 by a thermal oxidation process with a thickness of 200 nm, for example, and a lower electrode 33 of Pt is formed on the SiO.sub.2 film 32 by a D.C. sputtering process conducted at a room temperature, with an adhesion layer 33A of Ti interposed between the SiO.sub.2 film 32 and the lower electrode 33. More specifically, (re claims 2-3) the Ti adhesion layer 33A is formed in an Ar atmosphere under the pressure of 0.7 Pa with a thickness of about 20 nm as represented in TABLE I below. Further, the lower electrode 33 of Pt is formed under the same condition (re claim 5) with a thickness of about 175 nm. The deposition of the Ti film 33A is conducted by setting the D.C. plasma power to 2.6 kW, wherein the deposition of the Ti film 33A is conducted for the duration of 9 seconds while the deposition of the lower electrode 33 is conducted for the duration of 96 seconds while setting the D.C. plasma power to 1.0 kW.

Art Unit: 2823

The Sasaki et al. reference discloses, col. 7, lines 15-30

EXAMPLE 2:

<u>Sputtering</u> can be carried out under the following conditions as a practical example (hereinafter referred to as the second practical example) of <u>producing a titanium nitride thin film</u> for use as a barrier film.

Sputtering power source 3: 13.56 MHz, 8 kW output

Material of target 2: titanium

Type of process gas: mixed gas of argon and nitrogen Flux of process gas: argon 25 cc/min; nitrogen <u>75</u> cc/min

Pressure during film deposition: 45 mTorr

Substrate-biasing voltage: -600 V

Temperature of substrate holder 5 during film deposition: 200.degree. C.

Deposition rate: 200 angstroms/min

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the method in Corvasce et al. with the Ti sputtering "while keeping substrate temperature higher than room temperature and lower than 200 °C" as taught by Sasaki et al./ Matsuura et al. because the Ti sputtering of Sasaki et al./ Matsuura et al. would provide the method of Corvasce et al. with prevention of "a problem with collimation sputtering is that sputter particles accumulate on the collimator portion, and the resulting loss of material decreases the deposition rate" (Sasaki et al.'s col. 2, lines 5-10).

The Marsuura et al. reference discloses further, (col. 3 lines 38-52)

In general, it is known that the ferroelectric properties of a PZT or PLZT film is related to the orientation of the PZT or PLZT crystals constituting the film. Commonly, a predominantly (111) or (100)-orientation is obtained for a PZT or PLZT film formed on a Pt lower electrode, which has a self-textured (111)-orientation (re claim 6), due to the epitaxial effect, in which the surface energy is minimized as a result of the foregoing film orientation. It should be noted that a PZT or PLZT film has a self-textured (100)-orientation. In order to maximize the remnant polarization of the PZT or PLZT film, it is desired to align the PZT of PLZT crystals, which belong to the tetragonal crystal system, such that the switching direction for the preferential (100)-orientation is perpendicular to the switching electric field.

Meanwhile, it is known that the PZT or PLZT film constituting the ferroelectric capacitor insulation film 16 of FIG. 1 shows a columnar microstructure and that the value of the spontaneous polarization 2 Pr is maximized when the crystal grains therein are oriented in the (111) direction.

Re claims 6-8, Marsuura et al.'s PLZT film is formed as the ferroelectric film "by sputtering process contains characteristically low concentration C (carbon)", (col. 8, lines 61-63, re claim 7); an orientation direction of the ferroelectric film 34 is a (111) direction, (col. 3, line 39 – col. 4, line 40 and col. 12, lines 10-11, re claim 8); and "a Pt lower electrode, which has a self-textured (111)-orientation", col. 3, lines 42-43, [the same as instant Background of the Invention, page 2, "in general, a Pt film oriented in the (222) direction, which is the same direction as the (111) direction, is employed as the lower electrode"], (re claim 6).

4. Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of <u>Corvasce et al.</u> with <u>Sasaki et al.</u> and <u>Matsuura et al.</u> as applied to claims 1-3 and 5-8 above, and further in view of <u>Ohwaki et al.</u>, "Preferred Orientation in Ti Film Sputter-Deposited on SiO₂ Glass: The Role of Water Chemisorption on the Substrate", Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., Vol. 36 (1997) pp L154-L157 (provided by applicant).

The combination teaches substantially all of the instant invention but does not teach crystal orientation, H₂O added during sputtering, ...

The Ohwaki et al. reference discloses a sputtering method (*re claim 2*) for forming Ti (*re claim 3*) on glass which improves the orientation of the Ti film in the preferred (002) direction (*re claim 4*) wherein an orientation with an amount of H₂O (*re claim 10*) to enhance the Ti (002) preferred orientation providing the temperature at 350 °C.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the method of Corvasce et al.'s prior art with the conditions of Ohwaki et al. and/or Marsuura et al. because the conditions of Ohwaki et al. and/or Marsuura et al. would provide the ferroelectric capacitor of Corvasce et al. with the Ti (002) preferred orientation for the reliability of the electrode (Ohwaki et al.'s) and with better adhesion (Marsuura et al.'s).

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of <u>Corvasce et al.</u> with <u>Sasaki et al.</u> and <u>Matsuura et al.</u> as applied to claims 1-3 and 5-8 above, and further in view of <u>Noguchi et al.</u> US 6,716,749 B2.

The combination does not disclose the improvement of the insulating film before forming further the device. The Noguchi et al. reference discloses in col. 21, lines 10-13, quality of the insulating film is improved by exposed a surface of the insulating film to NH₃ plasma. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the process of the combination with NH₃ plasma nitridation before the lower layer of the lower-electrode conductive film is formed because the plasma nitridation would improve the surface of the insulating film as taught by Noguchi et al.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 10/04/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With the change in temperature limitation from 300 °C down to 200 °C, applicant argues that "there is an unexpected results associated with the present invention". First, instant specification's pages 21-22 do not limit the unexpected result at

Art Unit: 2823

300 °C. Applicant points to figure 2 as evidence of unexpected results. However, figure 2 shows only the claimed temperature range and therefor does not establish the claimed range as having unexpected results as compared to other ranges. Second, choice of temperature, partial pressures of elements would have been a matter of routine optimization because temperature and pressure, among other parameters, are known to mutual affect each other and affect device properties and would depend on the desired device density on the finished wafer and the desired device characteristics. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been led to the recited temperature of higher than room temperature and lower than 200 ^OC while forming a Ti lower layer through the teaching of Sasaki et al. and Matsuura et al. to achieve desired deposition and reaction rates as same as in the instant invention.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 8. examiner should be directed to Thanh V. Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-1866. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,253

Art Unit: 2823

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TOP

10/24/2006

George/Fourson
Primary Examiner